EXHIBIT A

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 527-1 Filed: 02/20/19 Entered: 02/20/19 21:29:31 Page 1 of 11

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)
4	
5	In re: Case No. 19-30088
6	PG&E CORPORATION, Chapter 11
7	San Francisco, California January 31, 2019
8	10:04 a.m. Debtor.
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 1. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER DIRECTING JOINT ADMINISTRATION OF CHAPTER 11 CASE
12	2. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO MAINTAIN INSURANCE POLICIES,
13	WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAM, AND SURETY BOND PROGRAM AND PAY ALL OBLIGATIONS THERETO; AND (ii) GRANTING RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY WITH RESPECT TO
14	WORKER'S COMPENSATION CLAIMS 3. MOTION OF DEBTORS TO (A) HONOR PRE-PETITION OBLIGATIONS
15	TO NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY EXCHANGE OPERATORS; (B) GRANT ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIMS AND AUTHORIZE
16	POSTING OF COLLATERAL TO EXCHANGE OPERATORS TRADING COUNTER-PARTIES AND FUTURE COMMISSION MERCHANTS;
17	(C) MODIFY THE AUTOMATIC STAY; AND (D) GRANTED RELATED RELIEF
18	4. APPLICATION OF DEBTORS FOR ORDER APPOINTING JASON P. WELLS AS RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL
19	5. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR INTERIM AND FINAL AUTHORITY TO (I)(A) CONTINUE EXISTING CASH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,
20	(1) (A) CONTINGE EXISTING CASH MANAGEMENT STSTEM, (B) HONOR CERTAIN PRE-PETITION OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO THE USE THEREOF, (C) CONTINUE INTER-COMPANY
21	ARRANGEMENTS, (D) CONTINUE TO HONOR OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO JOINT INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS, AND
22	(E) MAINTAIN EXISTING BANK ACCOUNTS AND BUSINESS FORMS; AND (II) WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF 11 U.S.C. 345(b)[7]
23	6. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS ESTABLISHING NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES AND APPROVING
24	RESTRICTION ON CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF STOCK OF, AND
25	CLAIMS AGAINST, THE DEBTORS

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 527-1 Filed: 02/20/19 Entered: 02/20/19 21:29:31 Page 2 of 11

1	7. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS
	(I) AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO (A) MAINTAIN AND ADMINISTER
2	CUSTOMER PROGRAMS, INCLUDING PUBLIC PURPOSE PROGRAMS,
	AND (B) HONOR ANY PRE-PETITION OBLIGATIONS RELATING
3	THERETO; AND (II) AUTHORIZING FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
	TO HONOR AND PROCESS RELATED CHECKS AND TRANSFERS
4	8. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR (I) INTERIM AND FINAL AUTHORITY
_	TO PAY PRE-PETITION OBLIGATIONS OWED TO SHIPPERS,
5	WAREHOUSEMEN, AND OTHER LIEN CLAIMANTS; AND (II) GRANTING
_	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE PRIORITY STATUS FOR CLAIMS
6	ARISING FROM GOODS DELIVERED TO THE DEBTORS POST-PETITION 9. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR INTERIM AND FINAL AUTHORITY TO
7	(I) PAY PRE-PETITION WAGES, SALARIES, WITHHOLDING
,	OBLIGATIONS, AND OTHER COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS;
8	(II) MAINTAIN EMPLOYEE BENEFITS PROGRAMS; AND
Ŭ	(III) PAY RELATED ADMINISTRATIVE OBLIGATIONS
9	10. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER (I) EXTENDING
	TIME TO FILE SCHEDULES OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AND
10	STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS, AND (II) EXTENDING
	TIME TO FILE 2015.3 REPORTS
11	11. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR INTERIM AND FINAL AUTHORITY TO
	PAY CERTAIN PRE-PETITION TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS AND
12	GRANTING RELIEF
13	12. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE FILING UNDER SEAL OF THE PROPOSED DEBTOR IN POSSESSION
13	FINANCING FEE LETTERS
14	13. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER (I) WAIVING THE
	REQUIREMENTS TO FILE LISTS OF CREDITORS AND EQUITY HOLDERS
15	AND GRANTING RELATED RELIEF; AND (II) AUTHORIZING AND
	APPROVING PROCEDURES FOR PROVIDING NOTICE OF THE
16	COMMENCEMENT OF CHAPTER 11 CASES
	14. DEBTORS' APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT OF PRIME CLERK LLC
17	AS CLAIMS AND NOTICING AGENT
1.0	15. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I)
18	AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO OBTAIN SENIOR SECURED,
19	SUPER-PRIORITY, POST-PETITION FINANCING, (II) GRANTING
エグ	LIENS AND SUPER-PRIORITY CLAIMS, (III) MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY (IV) SCHEDULING FINAL HEARING, AND
20	(V) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF
	16. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR INTERIM AND FINAL AUTHORITY
21	TO PAY PRE-PETITION OBLIGATIONS OWED TO CERTAIN SAFETY
	AND RELIABILITY, OUTAGE, AND NUCLEAR FACILITY SUPPLIERS
22	17. MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER AUTHORIZING
	OVERSIZE BRIEFING FOR CERTAIN FIRST-DAY MOTIONS
23	
24	
∠ 4	
25	
_ ~	

Doc# 527-1 Filed: 02/20/19 Entered: 02/20/19 21:29:31 Page 3 of 11 Case: 19-30088

THE COURT: Ms. Liou, good afternoon. 1 2 MR. LIOU: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Jessica 3 Liou from Weil Gotshal and Manges, here on behalf of the Debtors. The next item on the agenda is Docket Entry No. 11, Agenda Item 12, which is the Debtors' motion seeking 5 approval to pay certain pre-petition taxes and assessments and granting related relief. 8 THE COURT: And the way I read it, you get interim authority, temporary -- I mean remedial authority for 9 10 around 11 million dollars to pay and then at the final hearing 139 million. Some are priority claims; some are 11 12 post-petition, a variety of things. 13 MS. LIOU: That's correct. 14 THE COURT: Are there any objections that you 15 received? MS. LIOU: Absolutely none that I'm aware of. 16 17 THE COURT: Anyone either in the court or the 18 overflow or on the phone want to be heard on the tax motion? 19 20 (No response.) 21 I have no objections. I reviewed it, understand 22 That motion will be granted. 23 MR. LIOU: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 2.4 Next on the agenda is Item No. 13. It's Docket 25 Entry No. 16. It's what the Debtors have termed their

Public Programs and Customer Programs Motion. As Your Honor may be aware, we did receive a couple of filed statements in response to the Customer Program Motion. I'd like to take a moment to go through those on the record with Your Honor and indicate where we have resolved certain of the statements. I also do want to note that we have been working extensively with the staff of the CPUC regarding the motion itself and the provisions of the order, and that the U.S. Trustee's office has not objected to this requested relief.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.4

MR. LIOU: At Docket No. 66, Sonoma Clean Power Authority filed a statement in support and reservation of rights. We have communicated with their attorneys and confirmed that their issues are all resolved. They have filed a separate statement in connection with entry of the DIP order and given that there were some proposed changes to the DIP order, that is fully resolved — their issues with respect to not only the DIP, but also this Customer Programs Motion.

THE COURT: Okay. That's good to hear.

MS. LIOU: At Docket No. 147, that's ChargePoint, Inc.'s conditional non-opposition that was filed. We have worked out some language with them that I believe fully resolves their conditional non-opposition, and they now

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 527-1 Filed: 02/20/19 Entered: 02/20/19 21:29:31 Page 5

support the relief requested. I will go through those interlineated edits in the order in a moment because I believe that those edits also resolve Docket Item No. 158 which is the preliminary objection filed on behalf of various California State Agencies.

THE COURT: Well again, as you heard me speak earlier this morning, I just have not been able to keep up with the pace. So you summarized what's there, and to the extent that you or the Debtor has resolved them, we will make that on the record and go from there and get confirmation from any counsel.

MS. LIOU: Correct.

2.4

THE COURT: And I did look over the ChargePoint one because that was one that came in a little earlier, and I understand it. So that's fine.

MS. LIOU: Yes. And there are two other remaining statements. That's Docket Entry No. 156 filed on behalf of Marin Clean Energy. We confirmed with counsel that that is also fully resolved. We confirmed with Marin Clean Energy that their program is part of the customer programs included in the motion.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's it. That's the easy way to get people to come around is to get them on the program.

MS. LIOU: That's right. And then the last one is

Docket No. 170. That's Air (could be Area) Petroleum Reservation of Rights that was filed. I do not believe that there's any action required as a result of that reservation of rights being filed at this point in time.

THE COURT: So you probably heard me have some conversation earlier in the day with your colleague, and this one is the one that is teed up as a two-step motion, but if I grant the motion, there's nothing to do really at the final hearing other than to ratify it, right?

MS. LIOU: That's correct.

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean I guess what I want -- I just want to make sure we're clear that -- I'm sure in your experience and in my experience and in a lot of the bankruptcy lawyers' experience, as I said earlier, this concept of a preliminary hearing and a certain amount of things happening, whether it be money borrowed or payments made, followed by more, gives people an opportunity particularly when we're operating under this enormously tight time schedule, but this one looked like, okay, there's a lot of money being spent and there's going to be a final hearing, but by the time of the final hearing, the money is either all spent or committed.

MR. LIOU: Well, I think that there's actually a significant portion of the relief that is reserved for the final hearing. We do provide an estimate in the motion of

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 527-1 Filed: 02/20/19 Entered: 02/20/19 21:29:31 Page 7

what we anticipate we will spend during the interim period which is about approximately 215 million dollars plus any additional CPUC costs on top of that. But I share your concern. Your Honor, I would just say that, you know, this motion is critically important to many constituencies for many reasons.

THE COURT: Oh, I know it is. I mean I'm absolutely convinced. And that was my point, and maybe I missed it, but think in one or two of the other similar motions --

MR. LIOU: There is no interim cap if that's the question that you're asking.

THE COURT: Well, I think, for example, in a couple of the other ones, I had a table with "X" dollars to be spent now; "Y" dollars to be spent later, and I just wanted to get a sense. I'm not opposing this; I'm not going to impose anything on my own, and the people that have had a chance to weigh in on it, including some counsel behind you, that's fine. And we don't need to dwell on it now. In fact, why don't I shut up and see what the gentlemen behind you want to say.

MS. LIOU: Sure. But, Your Honor, if I may, at least go through the changes to the proposed order that we had agreed to with two of the parties that have filed statements.

1 THE COURT: All right. Okay. 2 MS. LIOU: If you have a copy of the order in 3 front of you. 4 THE COURT: I do. Yes, I do. MS. LIOU: All right. The only changes are with 5 6 respect to paragraph 2, and if you go about halfway down into that paragraph, there's a Romanette ii. 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MS. LIOU: The original language read: "Continue, 10 comma, renew, comma, replace, comma, implement, new, comma and/or terminate one or more of the customer programs. 11 12 There were certain parties who raised concerns about the 13 termination language and so we have agreed to modify that 14 language to make clear that the Debtors intend to continue 15 to perform in accordance with applicable law their 16 obligations under the customer programs. So we have now 17 revised that language to Romanette ii: 18 "... continue to perform in accordance with

"... continue to perform in accordance with applicable law one or more of the customer programs."

THE COURT: Okay.

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. LIOU: And then if you go three lines down, there's language that reads "as they deem appropriate."

We've agreed to strike that language. The Debtors will obviously perform their obligations in the ordinary course

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 527-1 Filed: 02/20/19 Entered: 02/20/19 21:29:31 Page 9

- of business in accordance with what Federal, State and other regulations require.
- THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that, Ms. Liou.
- 4 Those are the only changes?
- 5 MR. LIOU: Yes.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Counsel? Mr. Harris?
- 7 MR. HARRIS: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Robert
- 8 | Harris of Binder and Malter appearing for ChargePoint, Inc.
- 9 Ms. Liou has accurately represented our agreed changes to
- 10 | the order, and we withdraw our objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Harris. Yes,
- 12 | sir.
- MR. PASCUZZI: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Paul
- 14 Pascuzzi, Felderstein, Fitzgerald, Willoughby & Pascuzzi.
- 15 We are co-counsel with the California Attorney General's
- 16 Office for various State agencies, the ones --
- 17 THE COURT: I expected you here. You're a
- 18 | regular.
- 19 MR. PASCUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor. The State
- 20 | agencies on our pleading in this particular matter are the
- 21 | California Department of Toxic Substances Control,
- 22 | California Department of Water Resources, State Water
- 23 | Resources Control Board, Regional Water Quality Control
- 24 Boards and State Energy Resources Conservation and
- 25 Development Commission. Your Honor, we do support the

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 527-1 Filed: 02/20/19 Entered: 02/20/19 21:29:31 Page 11 of 11

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct

By: /s/ Jo McCall

transcript from the digital sound recording of the

proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

DATED: February 5, 2019